SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMCS_57935**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Fast and Effective Region-based Depth Map Upsampling with Application to Location Map-Free Reversible Data Hiding**
Type of the Article	**Type of Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**I Have totally reviwed and I have gotten that some motivation have been elaborated At other end paper quality is good it should be go further from my side.**	***Compulsory comment 1:*** I Have totally reviewed and I have gotten that some motivation have been elaborated. At other end paper quality is good it should be go further from my side ***Response:*** Many thanks for your positive affirmation to our paper. ***Minor comment 1:*** Here taking some value in percentage but did not specify from where they got this figure actually. ***Response:*** According to your suggestion, as described in the end of Subsection 5.2.2, one additional statement has been added to illustrate that when setting the bpp values to 0.1, 0.1, 0.5, and 0.7, the PSNR improvement ratios of our JUR method are at least 11.6%, 12%, 11.4%, and 9.5%, respectively, relative to the nine comparative combinations.
Minor REVISION comments	Here taking some value in percentage but did not specify from where they got this figure actually.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	