

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_69167
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIOLOGICAL SAFETY AND QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF MAIZE ( <i>Zea Mays L.</i> ) PRODUCED AND STOCKED FROM RURAL CONDITIONS IN CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>abstract is written well</b></li> <li>- <b>introduction:</b> the uniqueness of your study with previous studies is not clear. The novelty must be clearly expressed in your research</li> <li>- <b>materials and method:</b> specify the other materials and equipment used in the study.</li> <li>- <b>Results and discussion:</b> the reciprocity of the results has not been discussed comprehensively, including the difference between the results and the standard value is also not discussed.</li> </ul>	<p>Correction made</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>noted</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	