



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_61996
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in Acid-pH Resistance of Food Spoilage and Pathogens Spore-Forming Bacteria in Indigenous Acidic Sorghum-Based Beers Before and After Sublethal Thermal Stress
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No major revision required	Thanks a ton.
Minor REVISION comments	Lack of page numbers and line numbers make it hard to point out specific comments In Materials and methods, 'subsequently verified' for? In many instances, authors used present tense, for eg. we observe, it should be observed Change font of bacteria names to italics Spell check, for eg. change beers samples to beer samples	Authors thank a bunch to the reviewer for their valuable comments and suggestions. Materials. The plant material was subsequently verified to confirm that the plant species used for production of the beers were in conformity with those used by local producers. Time for editing has changed from present tense to past tense. Bacteria names have been formatted in <i>italics</i> . Spelling check. "Beers samples" corrected to "beer samples".
Optional/General comments	The manuscript describes interesting study on the impact of environmental factors on food spoilage. The manuscript is well written with detailed methodology. Results and discussion part are well explained. I strongly recommend for publication.	We have really appreciated. We will hope that the manuscript has been adapted according your suggestions and we have marked the corrections.



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	