SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SCIENCEDOMAIN

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Microbiology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMB_61996**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Changes in Acid-pH Resistance of Food Spoilage and Pathogens Spore-Forming Bacteria in Indigenous Acidic Sorghum-Based Beers Before and After Sublethal Thermal Stress**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. In 2.5 Spore-forming bacteria strains: CNCH 5781 spores was obtained from the Institut Appert, Paris, France Kindly correct. 2. When using the word Adapt in your Materials and Method, I prefer Adopt instead 3. There is need to provide list of abbreviations 4. Improve on the general grammatical expression 5. The title could be rephrased to read thus: Indigenous Acidic Sorghum-Based Beers Before and After Sublethal Thermal Stress in Cameroon cause changes in Acid-pH Resistance of Food Spoilage and Pathogens Spore-Forming Bacteria	1. the Institut Appert have been exchange by "the Institute Appert". 2. We had not used the word "Adapt" in part Materials and Methods. But, we have used expression "Adapted method" for referring to a modified method based on referenced method present in literature. 3. List of abbreviation has been provided. 4. Some sentences have been recasted or made clearer. 5. The authors thank the expert for the depth review of the manuscript. Concerning the title, the authors thought that rephrasing of title as done by reviewer does not corroborate the objective targeted by the study which is to assess the impact of environmental factors like temperature on food spoilage. We would like to know how bacteria behave in acidic food after their adaptation in the external thermal conditions that could affect some of their resistance as acid resistance. With regards to all above, the authors decided to maintain the title as edited in the first version of the manuscript submitted for reviewing.
Optional/General comments	The authors should make corrections in the article in the areas coloured yellow.	Authors have really appreciated the massive corrections brought in the article by the reviewer. We will hope that the manuscript has been adapted according to your valuable suggestions. All modifications done in the revised manuscript have been highlighted in yellow as suggested by the editor.

Comment [WU1]: check the spelling

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	