



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_54412
Title of the Manuscript:	Distribution and Antibiotic Sensitivity Patterns of Faecal Bacteria from Underground Faecal Storage Cisterns in Ondo State.
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal' s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ' **lack of Novelty**' , provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer' s comment	Author' s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author should insert the entire abstract in the manuscript.The introduction part is too long; it should be shorter. Also they have to provide data about the origin of the culture media and the microscop data (the name of the country and town). Also the author made some misspelled the name of the culture media " MacConkey" (he wrote MaConckey) and also in table no. 3 the name of the germ " Escherichia coli" (he wrote " Esherichia coli")</p> <p>The manuscript has also a lot of grammar mistakes. That is why, the English written style should be revised by a English native speaker in order to remove grammar and spelling inaccuracies and to make the manuscript more formal. The name of the microbes should be written using the " italic" font.</p>	The entire abstract has been inserted in the manuscript. Also, other errors pointed out by the reviewer have been corrected accordingly. Thank you.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer' s comment	Author' s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.