Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMB_51293**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARATIVE EFFECTS OF CONVENTIONAL AND NON-CONVENTIONAL FLOCCULANTS AND DISINFECTANTS ON MICROBIAL CONTAMINANTS IN WATER PURIFICATION**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	General Revision Each experimental has a citation, so what the originality of this paper is. Contents, especially Figures and Tables, are quite hard to read and comprehend, therefore the reviewer recommend to re-write all Figures and Tables. Microbiology rule is that first full spelling of name of bacteria such as Bacillus subtilis in italic and next is B. subtilis in italic. This paper is described as if it is review article, so re-write as an original research article. Discussion should describe based on your experimental results. Individual Shorten the introduction to two pages. Page 5 *Bacillus* to *B*. Page 5 polyacry lamide to polyacrylamide Page 7 4gL to $4gL^{-1}$ Page 11 550 nm 30 minutes to 550 nm for 30 minutes Page 11 from the bottom 4 and 5 not clearly read	Modified
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		