SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IRJGH_56701**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The superior mesenteric artery syndrome : a rare complication after post-traumatic spine surgery.**
Type of the Article	**Case Report**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Tis is an interesting report related to superior mesenteric artery syndrome after spine trauma surgery In the discussion authors related that duodenal jejunostomy is the best way to surgically treated this patients then why in this patient gastrojejunostomy was performed with the known risk of peptic ulcer and the need for continuous use of PPI ? Please include in discussion the treatment performed**	it is true that the dudenojejunostomy is the effective treatment as it was described in the article, but the attitude and the experience of our service for the treatment of superior artery syndrome was the gastrojejunostomy with a good recoil.
Minor REVISION comments	Need to include in discussion the kind of treatment performed	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No