



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_56104
Title of the Manuscript:	Purtcher Like Retinopathy Complicating Acute Pancreatitis: Rare Case
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors have described an interesting case of Purtcher retinopathy secondary to acute pancreatitis. I have following comments for the authors:</p> <p>1. Please clarify the meaning of hydrocholecysis and angiocholitis and replace them with appropriate English words.</p> <p>2. Please replace the sentence 'retrograde cholecystectomy under laparoscopy for a stone gallbladder' by 'laparoscopic cholecystectomy for gallstones'.</p> <p>3. Why was the hospital stay of 10 days after cholecystectomy?</p> <p>4. There are several grammatical and typographical mistakes throughout the manuscript such as the spelling of performed, gallbladder, eyeu, etc. in the case description.</p>	<p>1: hydrocholecysis : hydro cholecystitis</p> <p>- Angiocholitis : cholangitis</p> <p>2: OK</p> <p>3: the patient presented with pancreatitis complicated by purtcher's pseudoretinopathy, in which case the patient was kept fasting and then re-fed after cooling the pancreatitis and monitoring the course of the pancreatitis and pseudoretinopathy.</p> <p>4 : OK</p>
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO