SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_58686**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PATIENTS SATISFACTION WITH WAITING TIME, AND ATTITUDE OF HEALTH WORKERS IN THE GENERAL OUTPATIENT DEPARTMENT OF A STATE TEACHING HOSPITAL, ENUGU STATE, NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**I would like however to see the explanation about how the sample size was defined. Especially the number of respondents for each day.**	The Authors agreed on a population size of 300 or more. This was based on the desire to have a sample size that could guarantee reasonable validity, and also a size that the Authors had enough resources to process. Since the hired Research Assistant could reliably interview about 13 respondents within the GOPD clinics consulting hours in a day, and was only available for 2 days (Tuesdays and Thursdays) in a week; systematic sampling method was used to select 13 respondents in each of those days until a total of 313 respondents were recruited. The first patient that left the GOPD Pharmacy unit was usually the first to be interviewed, and subsequently the ninth patient, and after every eight patients. The systematic sampling interval of eight was based on the finding that an average of 110 patients was seen at the GOPD each consulting day.
Minor REVISION comments	**There are some spelling errors that were highlighted in the manuscript.** **It would be interesting to evaluate, if available, the stratification according to the medical subspecialties attended.**	The spelling errors have been corrected Only Community Medicine Specialists/Resident doctors, and General Medical Officers consult at the GOPD.
Optional/General comments	**The article approaches an interesting theme, and the authors are capable of explaining clearly their objectives, methods, discussion and conclusion.**	Correct

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	