Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_58686**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PATIENTS SATISFACTION WITH WAITING TIME, AND ATTITUDE OF HEALTH WORKERS IN THE GENERAL OUTPATIENT DEPARTMENT OF A STATE TEACHING HOSPITAL, ENUGU STATE, NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1.The references included are relevant for the subject under study, but are not current, show 4/28 (14%) references from the last 5 years. 2. However, it would be important to describe the instrument used, what variables and how they were measured.	1. These are the available references. I agree they are relevant 2. Interviewer-administered questionnaire was used. The questionnaire had three sections namely (A) Socio-demographic, (B) Waiting time , and (C) Attitude of Health workers. The variables elicited are as presented in the results section
Optional/General comments	The reviewed manuscript reports quantitative, descriptive and cross-sectional study. The objectives of the manuscript are clearly enumerated. The references included are relevant for the subject under study, but are not current, show 4/28 (14%) references from the last 5 years. There is strong concordance between the objectives and the methods used. The description of the methodology the description of the methodology was made in an adequate way. However, it would be important to describe the instrument used, what variables and how they were measured. The ethical issues were considered. The data set is complete, and the results are presented in detail. Figures and tables are precise and clear. The discussion correlates well with the presented data and takes the published literature into account. The manuscript presents the practical implications and limitations of the study.	I agree

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* ethical issues were addressed	