SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_53699**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of the nutritional status and determinants of malnutrition among school going adolescents in the rural field practice area of the medical college, Hassan, Karnataka**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**No**	
Minor REVISION comments	In abstract: The conclusion is not consistent with the objective; It is a recommendation and can be maintained after writing the conclusion of the study. In 2. Anthropometric Measurements: Point out that anthropometry equipment was used. In the conclusion: incorporate conclusions about risk factors, as stated in the objective of the investigation. The Bibliographic reference number 3 has not been published and is from 2001; The editors, based on the rules of the journal, will consider its validity or withdrawal.	The statements consistent with the objectives is included in the conclusion in the abstract as well in the main article. Anthropometric equipments used are mentioned in the manuscript. The corrections are highlighted in yellow.
Optional/General comments	The study reports the prevalence of malnutrition among the adolescents evaluated and the risk factors for malnutrition and overweight, being important that their results are known by the scientific community and political decision makers to implement strategies that contribute to the improvement of nutritional status and Teen health. I suggest that once the observations have been raised, the manuscript be published in the journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* Yes. Written informed consent from the schools' principals was obtained. Adolescents were asked for their assent for each activity. Institutional ethical committee approval was obtained for the study.	Institutional ethical committee clearance obtained and Head of the schools had approved for conduct of the study and students, assent was got.