SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJRRD_67708**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Investigation of Root-Crown Ratio of Upper Anterior Teeth in a Group of Turkish Children**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**It seems to me that the topic contributes to daily dental practice, due to the needs that dontologists face in terms of canal treatment of child patients.** **In the quick review of the bibliography I found that evidently similar studies are not found in the Turkish population specifically. But there are some studies that compare different ethnic groups in permanent dentition, as a suggestion, I believe that these works could also serve to deepen the discussion and make a sharing of the data obtained in primary dentition.**	Thank you very much for your contribution, Yes, this study is the first study done in the Turkish population. A similar study can be planned for primary teeth. However, physiological root resorption in primary teeth may cause errors in measurements.
Minor REVISION comments	**There are minor errors in the references part**	Necessary corrections were made in line with the reviewer suggestion.
Optional/General comments	**None**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No ethical approval was obtained from the local ethical committee since only the achieve data were used for the study.