SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Plant & Soil Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJPSS_68606**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of value added product from sugar industry on growth and yield attributes of maize**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The manuscript is interesting and a current topic in sugar industry. However, major changes required on the Materials and Method section. Specifically it is advisable to segregate the sub section as 1) Description of the experimental area, 2) Treatments and experimental design, 3) Data collected, and 5) Data analysis sections. 2. The sub sections need to detail the description of the abbreviations used in the study, the procedures used especially during planting, data collection instruments used (in case used) or how the data taken for example LAI must be explained.	Corrected
Minor REVISION comments	1. The abstract section requires re-write up and revision. 2. The Introduction section needs to revise the English as a whole, insert citations properly and amend certain editorial issues. 3. In general, It would be better for the authors to revise the English.	Done
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	