Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJPSS_68606**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of value added product from sugar industry on growth and yield attributes of maize**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

SDI Review Form 1.6
PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Preliminary review in haste To use the expression 'value added product' throughout seems to detract from the ability of a reader to understand the paper. VAP is being evaluated simply a source of potassium for the maize crop. The expression 'spent wash' or 'dried spent wash' are readily understood and could replace 'VAP' It is not clear how the VAP is made from spent wash. Is it dried (9% MC 15% OC 11% K) or incinerated to give 33% K. W hat does the synonym STCR-K mean? It is not one readily recognized. It needs to be explained and written more clearly within the text What is kharid season? The agronomic investigations are sound but the results would be much more readily understood by readers if the author could address the above.	Corrected Done Done Done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	