



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_50367
Title of the Manuscript:	Status of available phosphorus and forms of Phosphorus in soil under major cropping systems of Y.S.R. Kadapa district, A.P.
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	If possible, also present soil physical properties. Show some relations of different phosphorus fractions with soil organic carbon.	Yes included. Soil organic carbon was not estimated. Hence, not able to furnish the data.
Optional/General comments	Write clear objective of this research. Correct the spelling highlighted in red colour.	In the present study, the status of P forms under different cropping systems in the southern zone of A.P. was studied. Yes, corrected the spelling highlighted in red colour.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No issues. Everything is alright.