SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Environment and Climate Change**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJECC_55810**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Influence of growing media on growth and yield of Marigold (Tagetes Erecta (L.)) under Protected Environment**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1- The Abstract is not clear and it needed to be written.** **2- Introduction needs more references, preferably, published articles after 2010.**	1. Abstract were rewritten as per reviewer comment 2. Recent reference were added
Minor REVISION comments	1- Why do authors use Split plot design in their experiment? 2- Are those tables in the manuscript have designed on the basis of Journal s format? 3- The format of references should be check and re-edited o n the basis of Journal s format.	1. Many numbers of treatments were carried out during the research period. Therefore Split plot were used for Statistical analysis. Here I have submitted to publish one part of the result among the many treatments. 2. Tables were arranged as per manuscript format 3. Reference were checked and re edited
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	Agreed with reviewer comments