SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Blood Research & Reviews**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IBRR_57528**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Sickle Cell Disease - A Comprehensive Study and Usage of Technology for Diagnosis**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Rephrase certain viable sentences and try to coordinate between the survey made. Conclude with which preventive technique is best as far as the survey is concerned. **There are some repetitive sentences. Remove them and specify at one place where it is appropriately required.**	**-Proper care has been taken for coordination w.r.to survey.** **-Prevention methods for sickle cell anemia is added as a separate section.** -Care has been taken to avoid repetitive sentences
Minor REVISION comments	The quality of Figures could be augmented. Sections and subsections are not numbered clearly. Please check.	- Figure is taken from another source and is its source details are changed accordingly. - The content of the manuscript is divided in to 9 sections and subsections are numbered accordingly.
Optional/General comments	Check and adhere to alignment protocols as necessitated by the journal.	- Alignment is taken care.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* No	NA