SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Blood Research & Reviews**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IBRR_56324**
Title of the Manuscript:	**KNOWLEDGE OF SICKLE CELL DISEASE AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN PORT HARCOURT**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	To authors, 1. Divide Introduction into two or three paragraphs. 2. Methods: Please state how you selected study populations. 3. Table 1 and 5: I am wondering whether "16-year old" students are present in this university. 4. Delete Figure 1: this simple data does not require figure. 5. I edited Abstract. Please carefully check if this has not changed your intention. Background: Sickle Cell Disease (SCD) is a hereditary haemoglobinopathy that has been related with significant mortality in Nigeria. Knowledge on cause, prevention and risk factors are important for adequate control of the occurrence of SCD. Method: A cross sectional study on the awareness of SCD was carried out among undergraduate students in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. A structured questionnaire was interviewer-administered to 146 students. Results: The study showed that 97.9% have heard about sickle cell. In 68.5% the source of information on SCD was in school. Only 42% had a good awareness on SCD. Students of male gender, of less than 20 years, with less average family income were more likely to have poor awareness of SCD. Conclusion: This study showed the need for improved awareness on SCD. 6. English should be polished.	All revisions have been done as suggested by the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	