SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Blood Research & Reviews**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IBRR_53010**
Title of the Manuscript:	**THE KAPP STUDY OF MALARIA AND ITS PREVALENCE AMONGST BOARDING SCHOOL STUDENTS IN GBOKO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF BENUE STATE, NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **In 48th line correct it as "**There are also problems…" 2. **In 49th line correct it as "**Malaria sometimes prevents…" 3. In 65th line correct as "prevent mosquito bites but a research by Udonwa *et al* [17] and Aluh *et al* [4] revealed that there ….." 4. In 114th line correct as "…..through capillary method as described by Cheesborugh Monica ["6]. 5. In 186th line correct as "…… prevalence reported by Abah and Temple [1] and that of Adepeju [2] **...."** 6. **In 195th line as "**reports elsewhere" 7. In 203rd line correct as "Also similar" 8. In 215th line correct as "…… etiology has been reported by Aluh *et al* [4] and Udonwa *et al* [17]." In 237th line correct as "There are certainly other factors leading…"	All corrections have been effected and highlighted in yellow.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No ethical issues were involved.