SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJNFS_60226**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Development and Quality Evaluation of Ready to Serve (RTS) Beverage from Banana Pseudo Stem**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Introduction** **-** The author should include in objective of this study in the introduction context at the last part of introduction. **Materials and Methods** It would be better to put more detail and reorganized in the materials and methods part as the following comments. - I would like the author to consider whether Fig1 flowchart is necessary. It seem to be superfluous since almost this information is provided in the text. Alternatively, the author may put the picture instead of flow chart. - Please consider and standardize the pasteurization condition (80 - 85°c or 72 – 80°c for 20 or 30 minutes). Which one corrected? - Please consider to replace "(sugar**:** water ratio 2:1)" by (sugar**:** juice extract 2:1). - The author should describe small detail about non-flavored V_0 control. - The sensory evaluation, microbiology analysis and storage condition should be briefly described in this section. **Results and discussion** - It would be important to improve the results and discussion part. Each heading of the results and discussion should combine in a paragraph with paraphrasing. - I would like to suggest the author display the sensory analysis of mint and lemon-flavour banana pseudostem beverage as a graph. The graph might display the sensory score against sensory items (such as smell, taste, appearance, colour etc.) - In the conclusion, author mentions the lemon flavoured V1 is the best in sensory	Thank you for your commends. The reviewer clearly pointing out each sections mistakes. These comments corrected.

	evaluation. I suggest to display the sensory analysis of lemon flavoured V1 and V2 in a graph. - Author mentioned to prepare two natural flavours (lemon juice and mint), however, the composition and changes in physico- chemical parameters of mint flavours beverage are not given. The author should mention why only lemon flavour had done. **Conclusion** At the last sentence, the author should mention in term of quality of health rather than waste management.	
Minor REVISION comments	- It is important to put what the acronyms signify of KMS, CMC, TSS, ROS, DNA and RTS mean in the beginning of the text. - The unit should be always separated from the value except for °C and %.	
Optional/General comments	The contents in this article may be enough to publish if the author can present more results. It is important to improve the written English on this work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	