Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJNFS_56629**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Food Consumption Practices of Men and Women across Rural-Urban Interface of South Indian Megacity Bangalore**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract:** Is recommended to give the number for the total participants and for both genders. **Data collection:** **What were the criteria for inclusion and exclusion of respondents?** What the authors understand by " standardization" of questionnaire? Validation or checking for understanding by respondents? **Healthy and unhealthy habits** and **Health and Nutrition -** reader strongly needs more information on these as not a standardized assessment methods / questionnaires were used? **Statistical analysis:** What software was used? Was the data checked for normal distribution as t-student test is used in data with normal distribution. What was the p value? **Table 1:** Please explain abbreviation: GLV. What is "t" test value? p value or other value? 1.69 or 1.96 are rather unusual scores for t student test? Please check.	**Abstract:** number for the total participants and for both genders included **Data collection:** **What were the criteria for inclusion and exclusion of respondents?** Since the data collection involved qualitative aspects, respondents with, incomplete, diplomatic and inappropriate answers were excluded and one with precise and specific answers were included for the study. Standardization in the study means, Checking for understanding by respondents at indented study area and incorporation of changes to avoid conflicts. **Healthy and unhealthy habits** and **Health and Nutrition** Study is the part of Indo-German collaborative research project. Based on objectives, questions and study aspects were formulated to identify gender related issues in the field of nutrition. **Statistical analysis:** Since two independent variables are involved, student t test was performed to identify significant difference between the two groups. Hence t value mentioned in the table. The t-value measures the size of the difference relative to the variation in data. Put another way, t is simply the calculated difference represented in units of standard error. The greater the magnitude of t, the greater the evidence against the null hypothesis. This means if there is greater evidence that there is a significant difference. The closer t is to 0, the more likely there isn't a significant difference.
Minor REVISION comments	**Introduction:** It would be beneficial for the reader to indicate differences and similarities in selected eating behaviors in the studied country to western countries. **Materials and methods:** Inserting the flow chart with the study design would be recommended (e.g. see Consort diagram for quidelines).	**Introduction:** Since study objective was to compare dietary practices between men and women across rural urban gradients, it was only focused on that and no comparison with western countries was discussed in introduction. However, in discussion the supportive data was obtained and mentioned in the study. **Materials and methods:** Study design included
Optional/General comments	Please check the text for typing errors (e.g. double dots).	Checked

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	Though study involves human subjects, no interventions or biochemical assessments were involved, hence ethical committee was not formed