Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**European Journal of Medicinal Plants**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJMP_72428**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Hydroethanolic extract of Adenia lobata (Passifloraceae) relieves painful stimulus and subsequent anxiety-like behavior in Mice: both anti-inflammation and antioxidant approaches**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The title should contain words like 'the effect of…', and also if possible the type and place of study. Abstract and key words good.** **In introduction, the incidence of pain should be mentioned; why this study needed will be clear. Sample size, no. of animals in each group,** **approval from the institutional ethical committee to be mentioned. Duration of the study to be mentioned.** **In the tables, along with rows, proper columns to be made also so that they are not jumbled up. the result should be separated from the discussion section.** **In the discussion section, result from other studies should be compared.** **Conclusion is correct.**	Yes, I completed the title with "Effect" see the highlight. The incidence of pain (in epidemiology term if I understood) is difficult to define since any disease is associated with acute or chronic pain This study is conduct to use antioxidant effect *Adenia lobata* to combat down stream effect of nociception namely oxidative stress. In fact, oxidative stress is subsequent effect of inflammation which turn is associated to nociception mechanism. I mentioned the number of animals by group in the first section of material and method. Yes, I did it (see at the end of the manuscript) We think the table organisation is clear. We just made some slight change on Tab.4. Yes, we separated the discussion section from the results This is the first study addressed to the antinociceptive effect of *Adenia lobata* extract. Thus, we just discussed the possible anti-nociceptive mechanisms of Adenia lobata bioactive compounds. Firstly, according its actions miming those of reference drugs ASA and tramadol. Secondly, by its possible intrinsic actions due to bioactive compounds: alkaloid or flavonoid based on previous studies using others species. OK
Minor REVISION comments	Small grammatical errors and typo errors in material method section to be corrected. Conflict of interest, sponsorship if any or not, acknowledgement if any to be mentioned. Consent not required from whom?	Yes, I followed carefully this recommendation. I reread with more attention the entire manuscript in order to found utmost any grammatical and spelling errors, even if I don't highlight in yellow.
Optional/General comments	Overall a good and vast studies. After these small corrections, it will become even more better.	Thank you.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	