



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_56747
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnobotanical Survey of Medicinal Plants used in Treatment of Cough in Akinyele Local Government Area, Oyo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author should revisit the result and discussion section to harmonize several literatures that had no direct relevance to the study.	All the correction made within the body of the paper has been effected. Literature that has no direct relevance to the study was removed. Some of the literature were recast and some moved to introduction
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract and parts of the methodology should also be attended to make it read better. The literature review did not provide sufficient background on the current state of knowledge on the use of various plants in cough management in other parts of Nigeria	Done
Optional/General comments	The author did not consult widely on the methodology as study locations were not properly justified. The result was too detailed than the objective of the study	The study location has been justified in the introductory part of the paper

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	The consent of interviewees was sought with a written document through the community heads.