SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJMP_56747**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Ethnobotanical Survey of Medicinal Plants used in Treatment of Cough in Akinyele Local Government Area, Oyo State, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Notes are included in the text. -Organize the paper according to the authors guidelines, as original research paper. -Include other important information such as: ethical care adopted and consent of the interviewees. -It is necessary to correct scientific names and families of medicinal plants.	Done Included Done
Minor REVISION comments	Clarify how medicinal plants were identified Reduce the number of references, maintaining the essential for support research	The work was a survey work based on questionnaires by interviewed methods. The respondents ONLY mentioned the names of the plants they used to treat cough Done
Optional/General comments	The paper provides important information	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* Authors must inform the ethical precautions adopted and whether there was the consent of the interviewees	The consent of interviewees was sought with a written document through the community heads.