| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | European Journal of Medicinal Plants |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_EJMP_56670** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **PHYTOCHEMICAL AND ANTI-EPILEPTIC STUDIES OF BOSWELLIA DALZIELII (FRANKINCENSE TREE) ETHANOL STEM BARK EXTRACT** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **1. Authors should report in past tenses, since the research is a complete action.**<br>**2. The beginning sentence in the methodology of the Abstract should be rephrased sentence to read, "The stem bark of the plant was extracted with absolute ethanol and screened for phytochemicals".**<br>**3. In the introduction part of the article, Authors should rewrite the ethno medicinal importance of the plant with punctuation marks rightly placed.**<br>**4. In the acute toxicity study results, Authors should remove the column of mice and weight. It is not necessary**<br>**5. Results on the Effect of ethanol extract of *B. dalzielii* on pentylenetetrazole induced seizure in mice were not clearly explained. Authors should explain results as presented in Table 4.** | The manuscript has been modified |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | 1. Title can be rephrased to read, "Phytochemical and Anti-Epileptic studies of Ethanol extract of Boswellia Dalzielii (Frankincense tree) stem bark".<br>2. Phytochemical screening is not clear. Authors should state only the phytochemical and the indication (presence/absence). It is not necessary to state particular test. | |
| **Optional/General** comments | Good original research work. However, grammar and spelling checks will be necessary. | |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | |