SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**European Journal of Medicinal Plants**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJMP_54767**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Anticancer activity of Silver Nanoparticle by using Cassia auriculata**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **Content is good but, is full of typographical and grammatical errors, and in certain sentences the meaning is not clear. Consider revisiting all such instances.**	Corrected
Minor REVISION comments	1. Line 3, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 32, 35, 37, 49, 50, 56, 90, 91, 95, 125, 141- Typographical or grammatical error 2. Line3,4,5- Consider italicizing the scientific name only. 3. Line 27, 127, 128, 129- meaning not clear. 4. Line 92, 93, 95, 96, 142, 143, 160, 161- Restructure the sentence. 5. Line 121, 153- Consider editing the tables by removing the rows in last column.	Did the corrections carefully
Optional/General comments	1. The authors have to be appreciated for including quality figures. 2. Though the work is relevant and good, the paper fails to make a good impression, due to the writing style which needs to be improved.	Did the corrections carefully

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment **Did all the corrections carefully**	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* There is no ethical issues	