SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJMP_54620**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Arbuscular Mycorrhizal and Root colonizing Dark Septate Endophyic Fungal Associations in Urginea indica and Urginea wightii accessions**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Very long Introduction, give the essential elements How did-you obtain this result? No statistical analysis? It must be described in Material and Method Look like interesting result, but the Material and Method and statistical analysis must be described and the discussion must be documented.	1) Introduction reduced. 2) Results and discussion elaborated. 3) Medicinal aspect of the plant added. 4) No of Accessions studied reduced to 10 from 15.
Minor REVISION comments	No working in this topic from 2012? 2013? 2014? 2015? 2016? 2017? 2018? 2019? And 2020? Please the discussion could be documented by some references from 2012 to 2020!	This wobegan only in 2015-2018.till after that workinng on another Ph.D objective of antimicrobial activities. Discussion elaborateted in the resent Manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)***
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	**No there are no ethical issues in this work**