Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_56703**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Development and Evaluation of Low Cost Drip Filter**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The goal of the described research was to develop low cost filters for irrigation purposes and to test for efficiency in terms of pressure drop throughout discharge and quality of output. The overall idea and results are very actual and useful over the drought word.	Thanks for the comments
Minor REVISION comments	A few comments: There are some formal mistakes – missing gaps between words (e.g. in abstract m^3/h.As; of0.95; wateris)	The correction is carried out
Optional/General comments	• Materials & methods - suitability of the used methods are appropriate. Sufficient details is provided. I like the pictures, graphs for better understanding. • Results & discussion 1. The data are well controlled 2. Author provided relevant and current references. 3. Discussion is based on actual facts 4. Conclusion is supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript.	Thanks for the compliments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	There are no ethical issues