SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_56469**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of Agro-Morphological characters among complete panicle emergence mutants of Samba Mahsuri (BPT-5204)**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Please clarify certificate approval number**	Ms_CJAST_56469
Minor REVISION comments	1- Introduction should be concise and obvious 2-Please clarify the setting and duration of study clearly 3-More details should be added regarding assessment of Samba Mahsuri mutant and their evaluation in methods section 4-Please added table including CPE mutants , grain types 5- Conclusion should be condensed and rephrase 6-Please clarify funding, conflict of interests, authors contributions and afaliations	**1**. We thank the Reviewer for bringing this point to our attention. We have rewritten the introduction and integrated related information in concise manner. **2**. Setting and duration was clearly mentioned (page no-2). **3**. The methodology section has been rewritten to remove the confusion and details have been added in the methodology section (page no 2-3) (Table: 1). **4**.CPE mutants grain types details mentioned in Table:3 **5.** Conclusion section was condensed and rephrased (Page no 9).
Optional/General comments	Some typographical errors should be corrected	Hypothetical errors were corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	