SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_56469**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of Agro-Morphological characters among complete panicle emergence mutants of Samba Mahsuri (BPT-5204)**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment**s (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight *that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1- The introduction is very short Please complete to be more integrated.** **2- Materials and methods are very poor please insert all the determined adjectives with more details.** **3-The title of Results and discussion must be changed to Results (only),and its very concise please complete to be more clear and also it must be guided by more recent references.** **4-Discussion part needs to be supported by references.** **5-Please enrich the manuscript with more and more recent references (till 2019 and 2020).**	**1. We thank the Reviewer for the comment .More information was integrated with references in the introduction section(page no:1-2).** **2. The methodology section has been rewritten to remove the confusion and more details have been added in the methodology section (page no: 2-3) (Table 1).** **3. We thank the Reviewer for bringing this point to our attention. We changed the Results and discussion (as Results only)and enriched the content with more recent references.** **4. In the discussion section of the paper we have added how the findings connected to the content of the paper .We have rewritten this section to remove the confusion. The content in the discussion section was supported with more recent references.** **5. We thank the Reviewer for the comment .We are enriched the manuscript with recent references: EX: (Zhan Chengfang et.al., 2019 &Sharma et.al.,2020).**
Minor REVISION comments	**Please revise the guide for others of the journal all through the manuscript.**	**Revised the manuscript**
Optional/General comments		

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	