SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_56415**
Title of the Manuscript:	**GLOBAL WARMING - CAUSES, IMPACTS AND MITIGATION STRATEGIES IN AGRICULTURE**
Type of the Article	**Review paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic of this manuscript relevant but not quite new to readers. It is not an original research article as the author has presented the secondary data and research methods not mentioned. The current applications, ideas, and hypotheses are adequately accounted for but there lacks recent works (data). The authors have interpreted and presented the relevant results correctly however, recent and critical references that are missing. Most of an article's references should be to primary research from the past 2–5 years. The figure no 4 could be better presented. Rest of the figures and tables are appropriately presented.	Yes, the topic is already a burning one, thus known to everybody. But sometimes it is important to present the global scenario in a new way. New references of 2020 added. New discussions added to the figure 4.
Minor REVISION comments	The author needs to correct some minor grammatical and punctuation error which were highlighted in the manuscript. Citations are needed in some places which were indicated in the manuscript. I kindly request the author to present a critical discussion, not just a descriptive summary of the topic.	All suggested corrections made in the revised form of the paper. Citations as such added in the text Discussion added for clear understanding
Optional/General comments	This is a review article. So it should give an overview of current thinking on the theme. Start with an overview of the topic and give some context, explaining why a review of the topic is necessary. Some new conclusions can be presented by analyzing previous works.	Paper revised according to the suggestions.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	All the source are cited in the references section.