



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_56260
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the potential of Elaeis guineensis plantations for carbon sequestration and Fresh fruit bunch yield in Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Adjust abstract to follow this format; introduction as author has done, this is followed by the objective of the study, methods employed, results and conclusion. Abstract is not very bad but needs to add objective and follow the corrections written</p> <p>Study matrix is needed and the map of the study area is very vital. Location of study area is important in research</p> <p>Your reference should follow the same format; Either use and or & uniformly through out</p> <p>It is advisable to Use the APA style of referencing throughout</p>	<p>I am greatly impressed by the comments given by the reviewer of Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology for publishing research study in your concern for tuning of my research representation in scientific publication. I kindly thank the reviewer and their team members of Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Results should be separated from discussion. Present your results first and later discuss them. This makes your work very scientific and clear to readers. Good work can be turned bad if not well presented</p> <p>Go through the work, space joint words and italic <i>et al</i></p>	<p>Results had been separated from the discussion and it helped me to revise the discussion and easy to the readers.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Please, correct your language, space out</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	