SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_56260**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessing the potential of Elaeis guineensis plantations for carbon sequestration and Fresh fruit bunch yield in Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Very long Introduction, give the essential elements Paragraph 3**2.2 Frond carbon estimation":** Where did you inspire? From literature? Please indicate an author. How did-you obtain this result? No statistical analysis? It must be described in Material and Method Look like interesting result, but the Material and Method and statistical analysis must be described Poor discussion! It's must be documented.	I am inspired by the review on the eagle eye view on my article manuscript which helped me in the prior revision before publication. Fronds are one of the major part of the vegetative biomass generated in the oil palm plantation and its sequestration was also underestimated in previous studies. The study by Henson (2006) extensively in Oil palm plantations inspired to study about the carbon sequestration potential of oil palm fronds. The statistical analysis is done by SAS statistical program (SAS Institute, Inc. 1999). The discussion is separated from the results and had been revised.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	