SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_53663**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Conservation Agriculture Mechanization Practices for Small holders under Soybean-wheat Cropping Pattern**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Under the introduction, one table for wheat and soybean production and consumption for last few years should be included. 2. Under the results and discussions, residue density of wheat crop is discussed but not mentioned about soybean crop. Some discussions about grain to residue ratio of soybean crop should be included. 3. Under results and discussions, some graphical representations of the data should be included in the paper. 4. One table for operating cost calculations should be included in the paper. 5. Some good photographs of the experimental setup/ working setup should be included in the paper. 6. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the references. 7. Many of the references are too old (more than 20 years). It should be avoided. The references related to research paper oriented should be considered. 8. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the paper.	1. Included in manuscript 2. No significant residue left after harvesting done by manually in the case of soybean crop. Therefore, we have not included in this manuscript. 3. Included in the manuscript 4. Incorporated in the manuscript 5. Since the experiment is done on agricultural research plot therefore, photographs of established wheat and soybean crop have been included in the manuscript. 6. Done according to the guideline. 7. Old references either deleted or modified with recent reference. 8. Done, manuscript followed by the guidelines of the journal for writing the paper.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20