SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_51942**
Title of the Manuscript:	**TEACHING STAFF PRODUCTIVITY AN HINGE ON INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY (ICT): A CASE STUDY OF BENSON IDAHOSA UNIVERSITY, BENIN CITY, EDO STATE.**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Change the topic to "The Impact of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) on Staff Productivity: A Case Study of Benson Idahosa University, Benin City, Edo State."** which criteria did you use to arrive at 2.5 as a mean value for benchmark, explain. (page 5. Under decision rule)	To the best of my knowledge is generic This is sequel to one of the three methods used arriving at a "good bet" for mean in any case. However, the mean is 2.14 not 2.5. thanks for the awareness
Minor REVISION comments	The researcher ignores the aspect of teaching facilities and also attitudes of the learners as a factor to poor productivity. Also, in the questionnaire, the respondents agreed that there are **POOR** or **NO** ICT facilities in the University then, how can you measure productivity in a situation where the computers and internet are not available. Could this be a gap for further studies? (Grey area) Line 61 use layer instead of lay Line 72 use number instead of name (reference) Line 142 use centred instead of centre 148 use attributes instead of attributed 186 use know instead of known	Noted Noted with thanks
Optional/General comments	How did you arrive at the population you used for the study? Did you use random sampling, stratified or snowballing to get the population for data collection?	

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	