



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_54663
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Perception on Labeling Genetically Modified (GM) Foods in Ghana: Implications for Food Policy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction is good, but too much of information, next background also included, so reduce the unnecessary sentences. 2. Background- need to reduce the points 3. Review of Literature- I s good, but no recent researches, after 2014 there is no reviews. Need to include 4. Author need to include ref for all the information (for eg. Codex Committee on Food – no ref in the text) 5. Demographic questions included age, gender, residence, income, education and frequency of shopping at the ted supermarket.- check 6. Work is good, but the way of conveying of matters to readers in quite complicated. Authors need to concise the matter and given to the readers, then the lay person also understands what they going to convey. One more is, for the research paper this much of long manuscript is acceptable or not 	<p>Introduction shortened</p> <p>Background removed and important points incorporated in the literature review and introduction</p> <p>Literature available is scanty but hope to introduce new ones in next paper</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Modified in the best way possible</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Kindly rebuild the matter and submit to the journal	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	