



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_54311
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative assessment of series wise soil fertility in Bheramara upazilla of Kushtia district between the years 1995 to 2016
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Update the title as indicated State the methodology of all activities undertaken in the paper Eg. Soil fertility parameter-Organic matter, organic carbon, pH etc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agreed with reviewer, the Title updated according to given instruction. All the methods of soil fertility parameters (Soil pH, Organic Matter, sulfur, potassium etc) are stated in Materials and Methods section with detail references in References section.
Minor REVISION comments	All the minor corrections as captured in the manuscript should be updated accordingly	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agreed with reviewer, all the corrections have made and highlighted in the manuscript as given instruction.
Optional/General comments	The paper should only be accepted after authors have taken the comments into consideration.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All the comments were considered and the corrections have made and highlighted in the manuscript as given instruction.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Not Applicable.