Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARRB_57971**
Title of the Manuscript:	**CHANGES IN THE PULMONARY FUNCTIONS OF INDIVIDUALS WITH SICKLE CELL DISEASE: A SCOPING REVIEW.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This paper addresses a current issue of interest to clinicians, physiotherapists and the population at large.** **In detail I have the following comments-** **In Abstract, Abbreviations- the following terminologies need corrections IRV – Inspiratory Reserve Volume, ERV –Expiratory Reserve volume. I want to know by RV –Reserved volume as mentioned, what the author means? Medical term is Residual volume.** **In Introduction, first paragraph has a word borne, it should be born. Also the line starting with Recently is too lengthy. In second paragraph word is exceptions.in page 3, third paragraph, I think word is missing after Microfibrous?** **Methodology, Result, Discussion nicely covered.**	RV stands for Residual Volume. The corrections has been made. Thanks. All other concerns has been properly addressed.
Minor REVISION comments	The author should avoid using 'S' where not required eg at most of the sections and headings Lungs Functions Tests is written which is the key word in this review. It should be Lung function tests or Pulmonary Function Tests.	This has been effected appropriately.
Optional/General comments	Overall good review. The primary limitation is correctly addressed that it was a review limited to English language studies only. Implication and Conclusion is good. Thank You	Thank You.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	