Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARRB_54505**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Analysis of Marketing Margins and Efficiency of Cassava-based product in Cross River Central Agricultural Zone, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**
Date Received	**January 24, 2020**
Date Due	**January 30, 2020**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In general, a very good and attractive subject and appropriately written paper. The authors were clear in their research plan. The abstract is clear and provides clear introduction to the parts of the paper, The Introduction and the literature review are very good and streamlined. The literature is satisfactory to tie the title, the abstract and the literature itself to the methodology and later on to the discussions. The methodology is appropriate with suggested addition [see corrected manuscript attached] The results/treatment of the subject are clear, well presented appropriate to support the discussion about the objectives of the paper. The discussion is adequate and does support the objectives declared through the paper Conclusion and recommendations are adequate Minor Proofreading is needed **1. Referencing needs careful review of write up consistency**	Thank you Done accordingly
Optional/General comments	**Copy of corrected paper is attached**	Noted and effected thank you

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	