Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJOM_56253**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Use of Technology among School Mathematics Teachers and Students; The New Wave of Recommended Instructions**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The review article is very much contextual and content based. But some points need to be added as** **(i) The introduction cannot highlight the focal point of the paper as it could not bridge relationship between technology and mathematics,** **(ii) The discussion of background has been put without proper reference at the very first para which makes this conception less valid,** **(iii) The references from various researches and their findings have been loosely presented without its analytical nature,** **(iv) How mathematics is dealt with ICT has not been evidenced with theory, charts, graphs,**	1. I do agree in part and the necessary inclusions have been made. 2. I do not agree with the suggestion. 3. I do not agree with the suggestion. 4. I do not agree with the suggestion. The use of charts, graphs and tables is optional to any researcher/s
Minor REVISION comments	(i)The discussion should be more condensed, critical, analytical without more descriptive, (ii) recommendation must be put, (III) Some essential grammatical revision must be required that is in-text commented.	1. I do not agree with the suggestion 2. I do not agree with the suggestion 3. I do agree in part but those grammatical corrections should be highlighted for correction
Optional/General comments	The content of the paper is reliable and it bears very significant revelation on ICT integration.	I do agree with the suggestion

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	