SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Mathematics |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_ARJOM_53870** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Offline Handwritten Signature Recognition based on SURF features using SVMs** |
| Type of the Article | **Image recognition** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **The title, abstract and the body of the work did not agree, the title is surf but the body is sift and surf. There is nothing bad in combining them but it has to be stated in the title, abstract and the body of the work. There are too much typos A lot of literature in the method, the authors should state the existing work and the modification to the existing work that will show the novelty of the article, when there is none it should be clearly stated. A pictorial representation should accompany each of the processing stages. Finally the performance metrics shoud have been more based on the feature extraction** | • Change the title<br>Title: Offline Handwritten Signature Recognition based on SIFT and SURF features using SVMs<br>• Rewritten the abstract<br>• Incorporated the all comments |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | The work should be accepted after the corrections effected. | |
| **Optional/General** comments | It is a good short to be candid. | |

**To**

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*** |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | ***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*** | |