SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJGO_57846**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Postpartum mothers' perspectives of comfort measures used by nurses and midwives during labor and delivery in a secondary level hospital maternity In Cameroon**
Type of the Article	**Original research**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract: write study design, sample, sampling techniques, place of study** **Introduction: justify problem, support with review relate with comfort measures by nurses and perspective of women too** **Methodology: validity and reliability of tool, data collection technique, sample size calculation, ethical consideration** **Discussion: discuss both similarities and contradictories findings** **References: made URL available** **Table: write clear heading, break down table 3 in two parts, check format, for yes/no categories write only yes, delete no from table** **Conclusion: concise , relate with main findings**	Due corrections done, however at the level of introduction point was not well understood, same with the discussion. There was no ethical approval from an ethical review board.
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical, typological, space related error: do correction	Noted and corrected
Optional/General comments	Overall very good study Clearly write meaningful sentences, insightful and unique	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* There was no review of research protocol by any ethical body	