SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJA_61639**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Analysis of Gross Margin for Three Varieties of Rice Grown Under Two Planting Methods and Different Weed Control Measures.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**No major revision required.**	Noted
Minor REVISION comments	Mentioned on peer review part of thesis.	Checked and improved uponor modified.
Optional/General comments	Well plant, analysed and write up was excellent. Very useful information had been brought.	Noted and thanks. Author appreciate the good review skill and well outlined observations of the reviewer.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No ethical issues.