SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Plant Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_APRJ_66926**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ETHNOBOTANICAL PRACTICES AMONG THE PEOPLE OF DAGANA DISTRICT, BHUTAN**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**See comments on the text (data missing, scientific names missing, further explanations on afirmations)**	• 4] stated that the loss of traditional knowledge has impacted on the development of modern medicine. • Scientific name and common name added to second paragraph under study area. • Sex and ages of respondents added. • All other minor corrections entertained.
Minor REVISION comments	Spelling should be reviewed	
Optional/General comments		

Comment [K1]: Reviewer ask about the completeness of this sentence. As the citation has to be number, [4] will be the name of author and this will be the complete sentence.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	