SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_APRJ_62093**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Performance of System of Rice Intensification (SRI) and Conventional Methods of Rice Cultivation in Gopalganj District of Bangladesh**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Methodology can be elaborated to address the issues as in the objective.**	Elaborated to some extent.
Minor REVISION comments	The No. of tiller/hill can be increased some more because there is no large difference wrt existing with tolerable limit of environmental factors.	Tiller/hill is the genetic character. So i think the difference is not so important.
Optional/General comments	Latest references can be used to solve the present needs.	Used a reference in 2020

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	