SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Plant Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_APRJ_62093**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Performance of System of Rice Intensification (SRI) and Conventional Methods of Rice Cultivation in Gopalganj District of Bangladesh**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The experimental apparatus is quite standard, and is appropriate for the study, especially given that the main focus of the paper is not to develop a novel technique, but to demonstrate the power of prevailing technique. I don't think any additional experiments are necessary to validate the results presented here, because the results themselves are not what is important; it is the technique used to obtain these results that is important.	Thank you for your comment. The main focus in this paper is to identify the method of rice cultivation that will give the benefit, low cost and easy management for the farmers.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	