Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJSSPN_56297**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Response of BARI Bush Bean-2 in different levels of nitrogen fertilization**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Overall, the manuscript is written well. The author(s) plan a very scheduled research work on an identified problem. But I have few queries about the research work, one is that the experiment was laid down in 2008 and a very few charters are observed during the course of investigation, so author(s) have to decide the utility of this investigation in present time.** **Topic and Key words: Response of Bush Bean (BARI-2) ~~in~~ to different levels of nitrogen fertilization** **Abstract: Summarized well.** **Introduction: Introduce the problem correctly.** **Methods and Material: Describe the methods and material used during the course of investigation appropriately.** **Results and discussion: Result and discussion are conspired accurately. But a very few characters had taken in consideration.** **Conclusion: Decision well supported and may be established.** **References: Correctly matched.**	Suggestions inserted
Minor REVISION comments	Author(s) if required add some more characters of the plant which have recorded during the course of investigation. We find only observations only on plant pod.	
Optional/General comments	Correct the minor grammatical mistakes and editing of the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	