SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Surgery**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRS_58408**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATION OF THE NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF PRE- AND POST-OPERATIVE PATIENTS IN THE VISCERAL SURGERY DEPARTMENT.**
Type of the Article	**Study Protocol**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Introduction is insufficient.** **Material and methods are not concrete, they have to define the day of preop when all this was taken, in the postoperative period also.** **In the observations, there is no tabulation of the findings. The postoperative nutrition is dependent on the cause of surgery also, so it needs correlation as it becomes a confounding factor, similarly the duration of stay is dependent on the type and cause of surgery. All these factors need to be addressed.** **In the discussion, the study population of the previous studies need to be compared with the present study** **The conclusion is ineffective** **The reference are not in Vancouver style and many of them are too old.**	Revised the article Corrected Done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Overall, the manuscript is not well-written and there is apparent loss of focus along with syntax and language errors	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	