SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRRU_68255**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Pelvic Fracture as a Risk Factor for Posterior Urethral Rupture in Patients at dr. Moewardi Hospital**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The article name of Pelvic Fracture as a Risk Factor for Posterior Urethral Rupture in Patients at dr. Moewardi Hospital" is well discussed.**	I have made improvements according to the comments aside. There were some typos and I did a review **Thank you for your attention**
Minor REVISION comments	To support the study, examples of pelvic fracture pictures and urethral rupture pictures can be given in the article.	I did another literature review search to improve this article. I have made improvements according to the comments aside. There were some typos and I did a review Thank you for your attention
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	