Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRRU_68255**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Pelvic Fracture as a Risk Factor for Posterior Urethral Rupture in Patients at dr. Moewardi Hospital**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	***Abstract section*** ***Introduction:*** *Urethral rupture are → is a common complication on→ in patients* ***Methods****: We conducted a → an analytic retrospective study* ***Results and Discussion*****: no place for discussion in the abstract at al, only results** *14 patients (29%) are → were in the age range* **And founded→ and fond** ***Conclusion****: Single rami fracture are → single ramus fracture was the most pelvical fracture* **INTRODUCTION** **No citation for references in the introduction text was done at al** **METHODS:** **No of patients should be mentioned here** **Many repeated sentences e.g.** at RSUD Dr. Moewardi Surakarta from January 2012 - December 2018. Data was taken from the medical records of inpatients at Dr. Moewardi Surakarta from January 2012 to December 2018. The inclusion criteria in this study were male pelvic fracture patients with posterior urethral rupture and no posterior urethral rupture who were hospitalized in Dr. Moewardi in 2012-2018 **(so better to write with or without posterior urethral rupture)** The research data obtained will be → were analyzed **RESULT AND DISCUSSION** During the period (from) January 2012 to December 2018 **You did not compare your results with other researches and if you are agreed or disagreed with them yet you listed 20 references at the end of your manuscript** **CONCLUSSION** Single rami fracture and Ipsilateral ramus are risk factors for incidence (causing) posterior urethral rupture Fracture of four ramus (rami) and malgaigne's pubis is → are not risk factors	I have made improvements according to the comments aside. There were some typos and I did a review Thank you for your attention
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/Generalcomments	Your study is epidemiological rather than clinical and you did not add new things to the already known facts	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	