Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRROP_54027**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Retrohyaloidal macular hemorrhage following a Valsalva manoeuvre: case report and review of literature**
Type of the Article	**Case study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1- Sometimes the ILM is mentioned MLI. Why?** **2- There is no mention of other causes of Valsalva maneuver.** **3- There is no mention of other reported cases.** **4- English editing is needed.**	**1-** MLI was a typo, the correct abbreviation was ILM "**internal limiting membrane"** 2- The causes of the vaslsalva manoevre are multiple: sneezing, constipation, scuba diving… 3- In your series, Oscar Kuruvilla et al [16] described the successful use the Nd:YAG laser to drain three out of four acute cases of prem- ac hemorrhage due to valsalva retinopathy. M.H. Errera et al [9] showed in his case report, the interest of opt coherence tomography to locate a macular hemorrhage. 4- the size of the hemorrhage must be greater than three papil diameters in order to reduce the risk of macular complications induced the mechanical trauma of laser impacts because the blood acts as a shock absorber of the wave on the ILM
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	