



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>All issues raised by the reviewers have addressed in the revised version of the manuscript. The decision is to ACCEPT after authors have clarified why they presented their data using the mean and standard error of the mean, instead of standard deviation.</p>	<p>I want to thank and appreciate my dear wonderful editorial board for their comments .the reasons for using the standard error of the mean SEM instead of standard deviation in the generation and computation of the data in the tables is based on the followings points:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) The sample size of the current study was small that is less than 60</li><li>2) We were actually interested in in accuracy and precision of the of the means and not the variation ,dispersion ,and spread of the datasets as in the case of standard deviation</li><li>3) We were also interested in the confidence interval which could give approximate value of the standard deviation</li><li>4) We were also interested in the final means values in the two Tables in this case the mean value of the control group and mean value of the test group.</li></ol> <p>Thanks</p>