Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRRHE_63982**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of the Association Between Plasma Levels of Pyridoxal-5'-Phosphate, Liver Homogenates and Serum Activities of aminotransferases and De Ritis Ratio amongst Alcoholic Hepatitis Patients attending University of Calabar Teaching Hospital ,Calabar, Nigeria.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript needs a series of modifications for its publication: 1. The title is too long. It must be realized. 2. The summary should be modified in part: The section on material and method should include the statistical analysis at least and should be better synthesized from a methodological point of view, and not be extended in detail. The section itself, would be material and method. 3. The sample is small. It is true that it is a trial, but it would be advisable to increase the sample if possible. The article would win in scientific rigor. 4. The discussion can be improved. The results of the study already described in their corresponding section should not be mentioned, nor should the Tables themselves be mentioned. In any case, the findings found briefly should be synthesized at the beginning of the discussion, and from there, a good discussion should be spun with the data obtained and the current bibliography on the subject, contrasting it in an orderly and structured way. **All these comments have been reviewed and corrected and attached .Thanks very much**	All correction done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(there is no ethical issues involved in this manuscript)*	