SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Botany**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRIB_57556**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Source-sink potential of maize inbreds grown in rainfed condition**
Type of the Article	***Original Research Article***

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. The writing quality is good; but there are many grammatical errors and sentence structure problems. 2. How experiment has been done should be mentioned in method portion, and it should be very clear. 2. Conclusion and abstract part requested for revision and should be more clear. 4. All listed reference should be as per the reference format and cross check the all listed references should be cited in text or vice-versa, and try to incorporate the latest relevant studies. (See Journal formet for reference Style; all reference should be in one formet). 5. Whole Manuscript should be once thoroughly checked (line by line) and check spellings also. 6. In introduction portion more recent information need to be added. 8. Check all the units, units Should be in one formet.	1. The grammatical errors have been recovered. 2. The method of conducting experiment has been mentioned in the Materials and Methods section. 3. The conclusion has been furnished as suggested. 4. The list of the references has been rearranged. 5. A new but relevant para has been added. 6. Some recent information have been cited in Introduction. 7. All the units have been checked.
Optional/General comments	**Remarks to the author / Chief editor :** **The author have shown a lot of efforts to make this manuscript and this should be well appreciated. The author should correct all my mentioned comments if author revised the manuscript and correct all comments then I recommend the current form can be accpeted for publication without further modification**. For more reviewer comments see the manuscript file.	The manuscript has been corrected and modified thoroughly according to the guidelines of the reviewer.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	The corrections made throughout the body of the manuscript have been marked by yellow color.