Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRIB_53337**
Title of the Manuscript:	**SURVEY AND DISTRIBUTION OF PLANT PARASITIC NEMATODES INHABITING FARMLANDS AROUND KWARE LAKE**
Type of the Article	**Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript entitled "SURVEY AND DISTRIBUTION OF PLANT PARASITIC NEMATODES INHABITING FARMLANDS AROUND KWARE LAKE" highlighted the distribution of the parasitic nematodes around the Kware lake and their possible risk to crops. The objective of the research was achieved in the study. However the presentation of the manuscript is poor which needs to be improved. During the review many grammatical mistakes was observed which is highlighted in the manuscript and need to be rectified.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. The objective of the study was not mentioned in the last paragraph of the introduction. 2. Format/ design of the tables is not looking good. The author is requested to fix it before the publication of manuscript. 3. In methodology section the name of the software on which the analyses of the data was performed by the author has not been mentioned in the manuscript. 4. The model of the microscope is not mentioned in manuscript. 5. No need to write the F. Cal. = 0,714. df 2, 18 at P<0.05, F. Tab. = 3.56 n the foo note of the table. 6. Check the format of the references according to the guidelines of the Journal.	1. The objective is mentioned as instructed. 2. Noted sir. 3. As regards to the software used, it should be noted ANOVA is used during the calculation which is a type of descriptive statistics, therefore no software was used. 4. It has been noted sir. 5. Noted sir.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No ethical issues