



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_53953
Title of the Manuscript:	A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF HEALTH CARE ONTOLOGY
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Missing of references (Kitchen ham (2007)) Please add more citation, since this is a review paper. More references to prove the review.</p> <p>Suggest to clearly present table 1 & 2, add in name of publishers, name of journal/conferences, as well as other related information</p> <p>There is another new table 1 & 2 in result and discussion. Please make sure this is not going to happen in your future manuscript. Please reconstruct your table in result and discussion, font type, size, even spacing are not standardizes. Kindly add in some "discussion", brief the reader on your personal finding based on the contribution. What trend can you find from it? Which techniques make more sense on solving what problem?</p> <p>Please revise back your references, the format, APA</p>	<p>This missing reference has been included and highlighted</p> <p>More citations were added and highlighted</p> <p>The citations were included in the references and highlighted as well</p> <p>The content of tables 1 and 2 gives the details as suggested</p> <p>The new tables have been corrected and appropriately labelled as table 3 and 4 respectively.</p> <p>The references have been formatted appropriately using the APA format.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>For study selection, kindly add in a short paragraph during the end (to end the paragraph properly)</p>	<p>A short paragraph has been added to end the study selection session appropriately and highlighted as suggested.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	