SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRAF_57112**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of Forestry Extension Service Delivery among Rural Farmers in Plateau State, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Abstract- 399 words, should be 300 only. 2. Keyword: add **Plateau State, Nigeria** 3. Introduction: [6] include author; provide status of forestry extension service delivery at Plateau state, (when it started, what services are offered) to give readers background of the study. 4. Statement of the Problem is usually short, but not three so long paragraphs that should have been part of INTRO as background. 5. Literature Review is part of INTRO. REMOVE.	1. Abstract summarized as recommended 2. Correction made, **Plateau State, Nigeria** added to key words. 3. Introduction revisited 4. Statement of problem revisited 5. Literature review removed, part of it now forms the introduction
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	All the observations and suggestion given by the reviewer were accepted in good faith and corrections already made. Note: All the corrected portions are highlighted in red. THANK YOU