Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJPR_66315**
Title of the Manuscript:	**FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE OCCURRENCE OF SKIN DISEASES AMONG CHILDREN ATTENDING THE CHILDREN'S OUTPATIENT CLINIC IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL IN SOUTHERN NIGERIA.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Introduction part is too elaborated. The paragraphs related to the previous studies conducted can be precise. Try to give gist of study only in 4-5 lines.** **2. Add study design/ type of study in the title.** **3. Add flow chart of the study : total no. Screened , included, excluded with reasons etc.** **4. Age groups can be mentioned in more details with more class intervals, like 1mnth – 6yeras, 6years – 12 years and 12-18years.** **5. How mean age is expressed? Its mean ± SD or mean ± SE ? Kindly explain.** **6. Kindly mention regarding the types of skin disorders observed in this study. Make a tabular or pictorial representation of that.** **7. Kindly add the limitations of this study in the discussion part.** **8. Add Ethical Clearance certificate number.** **9. Check reference no. 7, rewrite in Vancouver's style.**	1. Introduction has been reviewed as suggested. 2. Addition of study design to title could make it unduly lengthy. Hence title has been retained in its original format. 3. This has been added in section 2.2 4. Age groups have been reviewed and modified as suggested. 5. This has been corrected. 6. The focus of the index study is the contributory factors to skin diseases. Types of skin diseases is elaborately captured in a different publication and can therefore not be captured again here. 7. Limitation has been included as the last sentence under the discussion. 8. This has been added. 9. This has been re-written in Vancouver style for documents from organizations.
Minor REVISION comments	**1. Few grammatical mistakes. The language of the manuscript can be improved.** **2. Few suggestions/observations made in a track mode.**	1. This has been corrected. 2. Suggestions in track mode have been effected.
Optional/General comments	**1. This is an appreciable effort done by the author to conduct this study.** **2. The study is well designed with thoughtful concept.** **3. The literature review was done nicely with appropriate information in Introduction section.** **4. The manuscript seems to be incomplete in relation to details regarding skin disorders.** **5. The aim of the study is to identify skin disorders in children attending OPDs, however, the details regarding the disorders are missing. Author has not mentioned that which type of skin disorders they have faced or came across during this study period.** **6. It is suggested to add details about skin disorders occur due to these associated factors. Add in a tabular form or pictorial presentation with number of cases.** **7. Being a scientific journal, readers expect to know these details in your manuscript.**	Comments 1-3 are appreciated. Comments 4 to 8: see response number 6 above.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	